

# SF2930 Regression analysis

## Questions to be considered for the written exam

This document contains a set of assignments and conceptual questions on the topics treated in SF2930 Regression Analysis during the period 3 of 2020. Questions are constructed by Per Wilhelmsson, Ekaterina Kruglov and Tatjana Pavlenko. Six of these questions (or their slightly modified versions) will be selected to constitute the written exam on Tuseday, the 10th of March, 2020, 08.00-13.00. Observe that *Hint* is given after some of the questions; this hint summarizes the formulas which will be provided for this type of question during the exam.

The answers and solutions can be obtained by study of the relevant chapters in the main course textbook, *Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis* by D. Montgomery, E. Peck, G. Vining, Wiley, 5th Edition (2012) (abbreviated in what follows by MPV), other books suggested as a course literature and available on the course home page. Observe that the derivations presented on the board during the lectures are also topics of the examination. In addition, some proficiency in manipulating basic calculus, probability, linear algebra and matrix calculus is required.

This same set of questions (may be some will be removed and new added) will be valid in the re-exam. Hence we shall NOT provide a solutions manual.

10 uppg ch2

#### Simple linear regression

 (a) Describe the principle of least-squares and use it to derive the normal equations

$$n\hat{\beta}_0 + (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)\hat{\beta}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$
$$(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)\hat{\beta}_0 + (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)\hat{\beta}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i.$$

for the linear regression model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i, \ \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- (b) Solve the normal equations to obtain the least-squares estimates of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .
- 2. Derive the estimate of  $\beta_1$  in the no-intercept model  $y_i = \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , from the least squares criterion, that is to minimize  $S(\beta_1) = \sum (y_i \beta_1 x_i)^2$ . Give examples of when such model can be appropriate/inappropriate.

2.10

- 3. Verify the properties of residuals presented in 1.–5. (see p. 20 MPV).
- 4. Explain the difference between the confidence interval for estimating the mean response for a given value of the predictor x and the prediction interval for predicting a new response for a given value of the predictor x in the simple linear regression setting. To support your explanations, sketch the graph and describe the relationship between the two confidence bands.
- 5. In the analysis-of-variance, ANOVA approach to testing the significance of regression, the total variation in a response y is broken down/decomposed into two parts a component that is due to the regression or model, and a component that is due to random error. Derive this decomposition, use it to explain the construction of the ANOVA table and derive the ANOVA F-test for testing significance of regression.
- 6. Exercises from MPV: 2.25, 2.27, 2.29, 2.32, 2.33.

## **Multiple linear regression**

- (a) State the multiple linear regression model in matrix notations, form normal equations and derive the solution using ordinary least-squares (OLS) estimation approach. State exactly model assumptions under which OLS estimator of the vector of regression coefficients is obtained.
  - (b) Show formally that the OLS estimator of the vector of regression coefficients is an unbiased estimator under the model assumption specified in part a).

- (c) Find the covariance matrix of the vector of estimated coefficients
- (d) Find the covariance matrix of the vector of predicted responses
- 2. (a) For the model,  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ , (in matrix notations) obtain the OLS estimator  $\widehat{\beta}$  of  $\beta$ . Make the proper normality assumptions and derive the distribution of  $\widehat{\beta}$  under these assumptions.
  - (b) For the model specified in a) and proper normality assumptions on  $\varepsilon$ , obtain the distribution of  $\hat{y}$  and  $e = y \hat{y}$ .
  - (c) State the test of significance of a single slope parameter  $\beta_j$  and derive the test statistics (*t*-tests) in the multiple regression setting.
  - (d) Describe the situations in regression analysis where the assumption of normal distribution is crucial and where it is not (coefficients and mean response estimates, tests, confidence intervals, prediction intervals). Clear motivation must be presented.
- 3. (*Gauss-Markov theorem*). Prove the Gauss-Markov theorem. Assume that  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  is the ordinary least-squares (OLS) estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  obtained as the solution to normal equations  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$  for the linear regression model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  (all in matrix notations), where  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  has zero mean,  $\operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$  and  $\operatorname{Cov}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j = 1, \ldots, p$ . Show that  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  is best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  in the sense that  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  minimizes the variance for *any* linear combinations of the estimated coefficients,  $\ell'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ . (*Hint*: Use the fact that any other estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , say  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , which is constructed as a linear combination of the data can be expressed as

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left[ (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{B} \right] \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}_0,$$

where **B** is a  $p \times n$  matrix and  $\mathbf{b}_0$  is  $p \times 1$  vector of constants that appropriately adjusts the OLS estimator to form the alternative estimator.)

4. For the linear regression model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  (in matrix notations) where  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}_p), \ 0 < \sigma < \infty$ , show formally that the ordinary LS estimator of the coefficient vector,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$ , is equivalent to the maximum likelihood (ML) estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  denoted by  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{ML}$ . (*Hint*: To obtain ML estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , recall that the normal density function for the error terms is

$$f(\varepsilon_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\varepsilon_i^2\right),$$

and the likelihood function is the joint density of  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$ ).

5. For the linear regression model  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$  (in matrix notations) where  $\varepsilon$  has zero mean, define the error sum of squares as

$$SS_e(\beta) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta).$$

For the OLS estimator  $\widehat{\beta}$ , show that

$$SS_e(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = SS_{Res} + (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}),$$

where  $SS_{Res} = SS_e(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ .

- 6. Explain the problem of hidden extrapolation in predicting new responses and estimating the mean response at given point  $\mathbf{x}_0' = [1, x_{01}, x_{02}, \dots, x_{0k}]$  in the multiple linear regression. Motivate your explanations by sketching the graph and explain how to detect this problem by using the properties of the hat matrix,  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$ ? Recall that the location of the point  $\mathbf{x}_0'$  relative to the regressor variable hull is reflected by  $h_{00} = \mathbf{x}_0'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0$ .
- 7. Exercises from MPV: 3.27, 3.28, 3.29 (*Hint*: Recall that for the hat matrix, **H**, each element  $h_{ij}$  can be expressed as  $h_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_i \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_j \end{bmatrix}'$ , 3.31, 3.32, 3.37, 3.38 (Hint: Recall that rank(X) = p and that the diagonal elements  $h_{ii}$  of the hat matrix **H** can be expressed as  $\mathbf{x}'_i(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_i$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is the *i*th row of  $\mathbf{X}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

# Transforms and weighting. Detection of outliers, high leverage observations and influential data points.

- Define some different types of residuals (for example standardized, studentized or PRESS), specify their properties, and explain how they can be used for detecting outliers.
- 2. Derive the concept of an influential data point (sketch the graph) and explain how such points can be detected using DFFITS and Cook's distance measure.
- 3. Cook's distance measure, denoted by  $D_i$  and used for detecting potentially influential observations, is defined as

$$D_i = D_i(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}, pMS_{Res}) = \frac{(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})}{pMS_{Res}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\widehat{\beta}$  is OLS estimator of  $\beta$  obtained by using all n observations,  $\widehat{\beta}_{(i)}$  is the estimator obtained with point i deleted and  $MS_{Res} = SS_{Res}/(n-p)$ .

Show formally that the Cook's  $D_i$  depends on both the residual,  $e_i$  and the leverage,  $h_{ii}$ , and can be expressed as

$$D_i = \frac{r_i^2}{p} \frac{h_{ii}}{1 - h_{ii}}, \quad \text{where} \quad r_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{MS_{Res}(1 - h_{ii})}}$$

is the studentized residual and  $h_{ii}$  is the *i*th diagonal element of the hat matrix  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$ . Explain why this representation of  $D_i$  in terms of both the location of the point in x space and the response variables is desirable (for detecting influential points).

Hint: Use the representation

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)} = \frac{(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_i e_i}{1 - h_{ii}}$$

and recall that  $h_{ii} = \mathbf{x}_i'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_i$ .

- 4. Exercises from MPV: 5.8, 5.14, 5.15 (Hint: For the case of simple linear regression model without intercept, the weighted LS function is given by  $S(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (y_i \beta x_i)^2$ ).
- 5. Suppose that the error component,  $\varepsilon$ , in the multiple regression model (in matrix notations)  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon$ , has mean  $\mathbf{0}$  and covariance matrix  $\mathrm{Var}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{\Omega}$ , where  $\mathbf{\Omega}$  is a known  $n \times n$  positive definite symmetric matrix and  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is a constant (possibly unknown but you do not need to estimate it). Let

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{GLS}} = \left(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

be the generalized least-squares estimator of  $\beta$ .

(a) Show that  $\widehat{\beta}_{GLS}$  is obtained as the solution of the problem

$$Minimize_{\beta} \left[ (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})' \Omega^{-1} \left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \right) \right].$$

(b) Show formally that  $\widehat{\beta}_{GLS}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\beta$  and determine its covariance matrix.

*Hint:* Use the following general matrix derivatives rules. Let A be  $k \times k$  matrix of constants, a be a  $k \times 1$  vector of constants and  $\mathbf{v}$  be a  $k \times 1$  vector of variables. Then the following holds.

If 
$$z = a'\mathbf{v}$$
, then  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial a'\mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = a$ .

If  $z = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}$ , then  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 2\mathbf{v}$ .

If  $z = a'A\mathbf{v}$ , then  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial a'A\mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = A'a$ .

If  $A$  is symmetric, then  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'A\mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 2A\mathbf{v}$ .

#### Multicollinearity

4

 Explain in detail (with formulas) the concept of multicollinearity in multiple linear regression models. Describe in detail (with formulas) at least two effects of multicollinearity on the precision accuracy of the regression analyses. Explain why the ordinary LS parameter estimation in multiple regression model is not applicable under strong multicollinearity. 3. Suppose that there are two regressor variables,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , in the linear regression model. Assuming further that both regressors and the response variable y are scaled to unit length, the model is  $y_i = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \varepsilon_i$ , where  $\mathrm{E}(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ ,  $\mathrm{V}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2$  and  $\mathrm{Cov}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$ ,  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ .

State the least-squares normal equations in matrix notations and obtain the estimators of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . Show formally why the strong multicollinearity between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  results in large variances and covariances for the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.

*Hint:* Recall that in the unit length scaling, the matrix X'X is in the form of correlation matrix and similarly, X'y is in the correlation form, that is

$$\mathbf{X'X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & r_{12} & r_{13} & \cdots & r_{1k} \\ r_{12} & 1 & r_{23} & \cdots & r_{2k} \\ r_{13} & r_{23} & 1 & \cdots & r_{3k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ r_{1k} & r_{2k} & r_{3k} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X'y} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1y} \\ r_{2y} \\ r_{3y} \\ \vdots \\ r_{ky} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $r_{jl}$  is the simple correlation between regressors  $x_j$  and  $x_l$ , and  $r_{jy}$  is the simple correlation between the regressor  $x_j$  and the response  $y,j,l=1,2,\ldots,k$ . Recall further that in general, for the LS estimator of p-vector  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})_{jj}^{-1}$  and  $\operatorname{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_i,\hat{\beta}_j) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})_{ij}^{-1}$ , where  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})_{jj}^{-1}$  and  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})_{ij}^{-1}$  are diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the the matrix  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ , respectively,  $i,j=1,\ldots,p$ .

4. Suppose that X'X is in the correlation form,  $\Lambda$  is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of X'X, and T is the corresponding matrix of eigenvectors. Show formally that VIFs, variance inflation factors, are the main diagonal elements of the matrix  $T\Lambda^{-1}T'$ .

#### Biased regression methods and regression shrinkage

- 1. Explain the idea of the ridge regression (in relation to multicollinearity) and define the ridge estimator of the vector of regression coefficients for the linear model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  where the design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is in the the centered form. Show formally that the ridge estimator is a linear transform of the ordinary LS estimator of regression coefficients. Explain why the ridge estimator is also called for shrinkage estimator that shrinks the ordinary LS estimator towards zero.
- 2. Show that the ridge estimator of the vector of regression coefficients for the linear model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  produces a *biased* estimator of the parameter  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . Assume that design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is in the centred form.

- 3. For the linear model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  in the *orthonormal* case, i.e. when the columns of the design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  are orthogonal and have a unit norm, show that a ridge regression estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is proportional to its OLS estimator.
- 4. For the linear model  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ , show that a generalized ridge regression estimator,

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{rr} = \left( \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{\Omega} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{y},$$

can be obtained as a solution of minimizing of  $SS_{res}(\beta)$  subject to the elliptical constraint that  $\beta'\Omega\beta \leq c$ , where  $\Omega$  is known, positive-definite symmetric matrix. Assume that both X'X and X'y are in correlation form. *Hint:* general matrix derivatives rules from the end of this section.

5. For the the linear model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , derive the ridge regression estimator  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{Ridge}} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{Ridge}}(\lambda)$  of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the ridge parameter. The mean squared error, MSE of the vector  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{Ridge}}$  is defined as

$$MSE(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathsf{Ridge}}) = E\left((\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathsf{Ridge}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})'(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathsf{Ridge}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})\right).$$

Express  $MSE(\widehat{\beta}_{\text{Ridge}})$  in terms of bias and variance of the components of vector  $\widehat{\beta}_{\text{Ridge}}$  and explain the *bias-variance trade-off* in terms of the ridge parameter  $\lambda$ . Explain why  $\lambda$  is often called for the *bias parameter*.

- 6. Explain in mathematical terms the idea of principal-component regression (PCR) and how this approach combats the problem of multicollinearity in the linear regression models.
- 7. Explain in mathematical terms the idea of the ridge and Lasso regression and the difference between these two approaches. Specifically, which of this two approaches behaves only as a shrinkage method and which one can directly perform variable selection? Motivate your explanations by sketching the graph with traces of ridge- and Lasso coefficient estimators as tuning parameter is varied, and explain the difference in trace shapes.
- 8. Show that the ridge regression estimator can be obtained by ordinary least squares regression on an augmented data set. Specifically, we augment the the centered matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  with p additional rows  $\sqrt{\lambda}\mathbf{I}$ , and augment  $\mathbf{y}$  with p zeros. I denotes  $p \times p$  identity matrix. By introducing artificial data having response value 0, the fitting procedure is forced to shrink the coefficient towards zero.
- 9. Consider the multiple regression model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  and assume that both  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$  are in correlation form. Show that the ridge estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , denoted by  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{Ridge}}$  can be the obtained as the solution to the constraint optimization problem

$$\operatorname{Minimize}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS}\right)'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS}\right)\right]\quad\text{subject to}\quad \boldsymbol{\beta}'\boldsymbol{\beta}\leq d,$$

where  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS}$  is the ordinary least-squares estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and d>0 is an arbitrary constant. Sketch the graph (for the two-parameter case) representing the constraint  $\boldsymbol{\beta}'\boldsymbol{\beta} \leq d$ , explain the role of constant d>0 and the relationship of  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Ridge}$  to  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS}$ , specifically why  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Ridge}$  shrinks the LS estimator  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS}$  towards the origin.

*Hint:* Form the function  $\phi(\beta) = (\beta - \widehat{\beta}_{LS})' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\beta - \widehat{\beta}_{LS}) + \lambda \beta' \beta$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is the Lagrangian multiplier (or ridge parameter). Assuming that  $\widehat{\beta}_{LS}$  is fixed and does not depend on  $\beta$ , differentiate  $\phi(\beta)$  with respect to  $\beta$ , set the result equal to zero and, at the minimum, set  $\beta = \widehat{\beta}_{Ridge}(\lambda)$ .

Use the following general matrix derivatives rules. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be  $k \times k$  matrix of constants,  $\mathbf{a}$  be a  $k \times 1$  vector of constants and  $\mathbf{v}$  be a  $k \times 1$  vector of variables. Then the following holds.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{If} & \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{v} & \text{then} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{a}. \\ \\ \text{If} & \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}, & \text{then} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 2\mathbf{v}. \\ \\ \text{If} & \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{a}'A\mathbf{v}, & \text{then} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}'A\mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = A'\mathbf{a}. \\ \\ \text{If} & A & \text{is symmetric, then} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}'A\mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 2A\mathbf{v}. \end{array}$$

10. Bayesian estimation in ridge regression. Ridge regression is a regularization method for the linear model which looks for the vector  $\beta$  that minimizes the penalized residual sum of squares,

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text{Ridge}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left\{ \left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right)' \left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} \right\},$$

where  $\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_j^2$  denotes the squared  $L_2$ -norm of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$  is the regularization parameter. Assume that the  $n \times p$  design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is fixed and the components of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  are independently distributed as normal random variables with mean 0 and known variance  $0 < \tau^2 < \infty$ , i.e. the prior knowledge about the vector of coefficients  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is summarized in terms of the normal prior,  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \tau^2 \mathbf{I})$ . Assume further Gaussian sampling model for the response variable, so that  $\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \sim N_n(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$  where  $0 < \sigma < \infty$  is a known constant. Show that the ridge regression estimator is the mean vector (and mode) of the *posterior* distribution of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . Find the relationship between the regularization parameter  $\lambda$  and the variances  $\sigma^2$  and  $\tau^2$ .

*Hint:* The density of k-dimensional normal distribution  $N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$  ( $\Sigma$  assumed to be a positive definite  $k \times k$  matrix) is given by

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k \det \Sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right),$$

Recall further that the posterior density of  $\beta$  is proportional to the likelihood times the prior.

#### 2

#### Variable selection and model building

- 1. Regression analysis often utilities the variable selection procedure know as the *all possible regressions* (also called for the *best subsets regression*).
  - (a) Describe thoroughly the steps of the all possible regressions procedure. Specify at least two objective criteria that can be used for the model evaluation, explain how to apply these criteria and motivate why they are suitable for this type of variable selection. Explain advantages and disadvantages of this approach to the regression model building.
  - (b) Suppose that there are three candidate predictors,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$ , for the final regression model. Suppose further that the intercept term,  $\beta_0$  is always included in all the model equations. How many models must be estimated and examined if one applies all possible regressions approach? Motivate you answer.
- 2. Exercise 10.13 from MPV: (*Hint for part c*): Observe that the correlation for of the variables is used. Recall that for the full model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , with K candidate regressors  $x_1, \ldots, x_K$ , and with  $n \geq K+1$  observations, the following partition can be obtained

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}_p \boldsymbol{\beta}_p + \mathbf{X}_r \boldsymbol{\beta}_r + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_p$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix whose columns represent intercept and (p-1) regressors,  $\mathbf{X}_r$  is an  $n \times r$  matrix whose columns represent the regressors to be removed from the model, and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_p$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_r$  are corresponding parts of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . Then for the OLS estimator of the coefficients in the reduced model, the following holds

$$E(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_p) = \boldsymbol{\beta}_p + (\mathbf{X}_p'\mathbf{X}_p)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_p'\mathbf{X}_r\boldsymbol{\beta}_r.$$

(*Hint for part d*): Recall that the mean square error of an estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  of the parameter  $\theta$  id defined as

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = Var(\hat{\theta}) + [E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta]^2.$$

# Logistic regression, GLM and bootstrapping in regression

1. Consider a continuous (latent) variable  $Y^*$  given as follows

$$Y^{*}=\boldsymbol{\beta}^{'}\mathbf{x}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

where  $\beta' \mathbf{x} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_p x_p$  and  $\varepsilon \in N(0,1)$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}$ . Define further Y as the indicator

$$Y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y^* > 0 \text{ i.e. } -\varepsilon < \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{x}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) Show that for all real u,

$$P(-\varepsilon \le u) = P(\varepsilon \le u).$$

(b) Show that

$$P(Y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}) = \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{x}\right),$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the distribution function of N(0,1).

You are likely to need (a) in this. But if you cannot solve (a), you are still allowed to use the formula/result in (a)

2. Assume that the response variable Y in a regression problem is a Bernoulli random variable, that is  $Y \in \text{Be}(\pi(\beta'\mathbf{x}))$ , where  $\pi(\beta'\mathbf{x})$  is the logistic function,  $\beta'\mathbf{x} = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \ldots + \beta_px_p$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (1, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p)$ , i.e., Y follows a logistic regression.

Let  $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)$  be a data set of independent samples, where  $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_i = (1, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{ip}), i = 1, \dots, n$ .

(a) Show that for all real  $\beta$ , the log likelihood function  $l(\beta)$  can be written as

$$l(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{x}_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left( 1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{x}_i) \right).$$

- (b) Find the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} l(\beta)$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta_0^2} l(\beta)$  in the form they would appear in a recursive algorithm like Newton-Raphson for finding the maximum likelihood estimate.
- 3. Properties of exponential family
  - (a) Show that the Poisson distribution

$$f(y_i; \lambda_i) = \frac{\lambda_i^{y_i} e^{-\lambda_i}}{y_i!},$$

is an exponential family, on the form

$$f(y_i; \lambda_i) = \exp \{a(y_i)b(\lambda_i) + c(\lambda_i) + d(y_i)\}.$$

- (b) Determine the natural parameter  $b(\lambda_i)$  and the function  $c(\lambda_i)$  in terms of  $\lambda_i$ .
- (c) Show that the expected value and variance of  $y_i$  are given by

$$E[a(Y)] = -c'(\lambda_i)/b'(\lambda_i),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[a(Y)\right] = \frac{b''(\lambda_i)c'(\lambda_i) - b'(\lambda_i)c''(\lambda_i)}{\left[b'(\lambda_i)\right]^3}$$

from the two properties

$$\int f(y_i; \lambda_i) dy = 1, \tag{1}$$

and, given that the order of differentiation and integration can be interchanged,

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda_i} \int f(y_i; \lambda_i) dy = \frac{d}{d\lambda_i} 1 = 0.$$
 (2)

- (d) Apply the general expression  $\mathrm{E}\left[a(Y)\right]$  and  $\mathrm{Var}\left[a(Y)\right]$  in (c) to the Poisson distribution.
- 4. In Logistic regression, the linear system of equations are mapped onto [0,1] using a logit function  $\ln(p/(1-p))$  as the link function. Express p as a function of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- 5. Predicting trisomi 18. You have been given the task to create a model for predicting the probability of trisomi 18, also known as Edwards syndrom, for which fetuses have 3 chromosomes instead of 2 in the 18<sup>th</sup> chromosome pair. The model should be a *generalized linear moodel* (GLM) and the training data you have at hand is based on prenatal screening using ultrasound. The explaining variables in the data set you have been given are
  - age of the pregnant woman,
  - the nuchal translucency (spacing in the neck area seen on the ultra sound),
  - the level of  $\alpha$ -fetoprotein in a blood sample from the pregnant woman,
  - the flow of blood in the umbilical coord, and
  - weather or not the fetus hands are relaxed or not.
  - (a) Assume that the observations,  $y_i$ , are independent Bernoulli random variables and choose a suitable link function,  $g(p_i)$  where  $p_i$  is the mean probability of having trisomi 18 for a specific cell in the model. Motivate your choice of link function.
  - (b) Given the binomial distribution

$$f(y_i; p_i) = \begin{pmatrix} w_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix} p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{w_i - y_i},$$

show that the log-likelihood is given by

$$\ell(p, y) = \sum_{i} y_{i} \ln(p_{i}/(1 - p_{i})) + \sum_{i} w_{i} \ln(1 - p_{i}) + \sum_{i} \ln \binom{w_{i}}{y_{i}}$$

where  $w_i$  is the number of pregnant women in cell i.

- (c) During the work you wish to compare your currently most accurate model (AM) consisting of
  - 2 groups for the age of the woman,
  - 3 groups for the nuchal translucency, and
  - 2 groups for relaxed hands or not,

with a reduced model (RM) in which you have removed weather or not the hands of the fetus are relaxed. How many more non-redundant parameters,  $\beta_j$ , are there in AM compared to RM?

- (d) Describe the approach of testing the significance of the additional parameters in AM compared with RM using a Wald test.
- 6. Bootstrapping regression models.
  - (a) Two main sampling procedures for bootstrapping regression estimates are usually referred to as *bootstrapping residuals* and *bootstrapping cases*. Give in detail the steps of both procedures and specify the difference between these two approaches.
  - (b) Explain how to find a bootstrap estimate of the standard deviation of the estimate of the mean response at a particular point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . Explain how to obtain approximate confidence intervals for regression coefficients through bootstrapping.