DD1362 Programming Paradigms

Formal Languages and Syntactic Analysis Lecture 3

Philipp Haller

April 19th, 2021



Review of Lecture 2

- Finite automata formally
- Regular languages

Finite automaton = 5-tuple of different sets

- A class of formal languages that can be described using regular expressions or finite automata
- Regular expressions and finite automata have the *same expressive power*
- Context-free grammars
 - Express strictly more languages than regex

Example: $L = \{ a^n b^n | n \ge 0 \}$

Today's Lecture

- Lexical analysis
- Derivations and parse trees
- Recursive descent parsing
- Eliminating ambiguity

Lexical Analysis

Lexical Analysis

Lexical analysis: The process of transforming a sequence of (individual) characters into a sequence of **tokens** irrelevant Goals:

- 1. Remove irrelevant parts of input string, for example:
 - whitespace (spaces, newlines, tabs, ...)
 Input "12+5" should be treated the same as "12 + 5"
 - code comments (do not affect executable binaries)
- 2. Abstract away details from grammar, for example: Longest match rule: "hello123 45" should be treated as the token sequence Ident(hello123) Num(45) rather than Ident(hello) Num(123) Num(4) Num(5)

Lexical Analysis

Lexical analysis: The process of transforming a sequence of (individual) characters into a sequence of **tokens** irrelevant Goals:

- 1. Remove irrelevant parts of input string, for example:
 - whitespace (spaces, newlines, tabs, ...)
 Input "12+5" should be treated the same as "12 + 5"

2

Rule of thumb: if a part of the language can be described using a simple regular expression then it is usually better to consider it as a kind of token.

Lexical Analysis of Numbers

Idea: pre-process input string such that numbers are represented as complete tokens

Example: consider the string "378*232*(582-01)"

- Input string is equal to the character sequence
 3', `7', `8', `*', `2', `3', `2', `*', `(', `5', `8', `2', `-', `0', `1', `)'
- Lexical analysis transforms this sequence into a new sequence of *tokens* Num, '*', Num, '*', '(', Num, '-', Num, ')'
- Some tokens correspond to single characters (like '*' or '('), others consist of entire substrings (like Num)
- Tokens may also carry token data like the integer value of a number, for example Num(378)
- The generated sequence of tokens is the *input to the parser*

Lexical Analysis: Example

Consider the following Haskell function:

-- This here is my function

myFunction alpha beta =

5 * x

where

- -- compute difference
- x = alpha-beta

What tokens do we have? **Keywords:** where, let, etc. **Operators and symbols:** Plus, Minus, Times, Equal, etc.

Name: name of variable/function/etc.

... and many more

Lexical Analysis: Example

Consider the following Haskell function:

-- This here is my function

myFunction alpha beta =

5 * x

where

- -- compute difference
- x = alpha-beta

Possible tokenization:

Name, Name, Name, Equal, Int, Times, Name, Where, Name, Equal, Name, Minus, Name

Derivations and Parse Trees

Derivation

A *derivation* of an input string is a sequence of grammar rules that are applied to produce that string.

Example: Let us derive "4*(5+3)", i.e., the token sequence Num, '*', '(', Num, '+', Num, ')' Expr → Num | Expr + Expr | Expr - Expr | Expr * Expr | Expr / Expr | (Expr)

- 1. Start with *start symbol* of grammar
- Each step: *replace exactly one nonterminal symbol* with the right-hand side of one of its productions

<u>Expr</u> → <u>Expr</u> * Expr → Num * <u>Expr</u> → Num * (<u>Expr</u>) → Num * (<u>Expr</u> + Expr) → Num * (Num + <u>Expr</u>) → Num * (Num + Num)

Parse Tree

A *parse tree* represents a (set of) derivation(s) and encapsulates the semantics of an input string

Derivation:

$$\frac{\text{Expr} \rightarrow \text{Expr} * \text{Expr} \rightarrow \text{Num} * \text{Expr} \rightarrow \text{Num} *}{\text{Num} * (\text{Expr}) \rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\text{Num} * (\text{Expr} + \text{Expr}) \rightarrow}{\text{Num} * (\text{Num} + \text{Expr}) \rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\text{Num} * (\text{Num} + \text{Expr}) \rightarrow}{\text{Num} * (\text{Num} + \text{Num})}$$



Parse Tree: Semantics

The parse tree encapsulates the semantics of an expression/a program.

- Parse tree enables

 evaluating an
 expression: "execute"
 computation to obtain
 result value
- Need to know the actual integers of the Num tokens → add this as token data
- Evaluation done using tree traversal



Derivations & Trees

Consider the expression 3 + 5 * 4

- Can you find two different derivations?
- What about two different parse trees?



Derivations | Expr → Num | Expr + Expr | Expr - Expr

| Expr * Expr | Expr / Expr Consider the expression 3 + 5 * 4

- (Expr) Can you find two different derivation
- What about two different parse trees?

Solution:



Recursive Descent Parsing

Recursive Descent Parsing

A method for constructing an efficient parser for a given grammar:

- An input string is parsed according to the productions needed for its derivation
- When starting to parse a (string derived from a) non-terminal, *look ahead* to the next token to select the corresponding production
- For each non-terminal symbol, create a *recursive function* responsible for parsing that non-terminal
- Parse according to a production as follows:
 - For each terminal: check that it matches the next token
 - For each non-terminal symbol: call the function corresponding to the non-terminal symbol

Grammar for Binary Trees

- Leaf: "leaf"
- Branch: "branch"
- Num: [0-9]+
- LPar, RPar, Comma: parentheses and comma

Example:

branch(branch(leaf(17),leaf(42)),leaf(5))

Result of lexical analysis:

Branch LPar Branch LPar Leaf LPar Num RPar Comma Leaf LPar Num RPar RPar Comma Leaf LPar Num RPar RPar



Eliminating Ambiguity

Ambiguous Expression Grammar

expr ::= intLiteral | ident

| expr + expr | expr / expr

foo + 42 / bar + arg

Each node in parse tree is given by one grammar alternative.

Show that the input above has two parse trees!

(1) Layer the grammar by priorities

expr ::= ident | expr - expr | expr ^ expr | (expr)

expr ::= term (- term)* term ::= factor (^ factor)* factor ::= id | (expr)

lower priority binds weaker, so it goes outside

(2) Building trees: right-associative "^"

```
Expr term() {
  Expr e = factor();
  if (lexer.token == ExpToken) {
    lexer.next();
    return new Exp(e, term());
  } else
    return e;
}
```

(3) Building trees: left-associative "-"

LEFT-associative operator

```
x - y - z \rightarrow (x - y) - z
Minus(Minus(Var("x"), Var("y")), Var("z"))
```

```
Expr expr() {
  Expr e = term();
  while (lexer.token == MinusToken) {
    lexer.next();
    e = new Minus(e, term());
  }
  return e;
}
```

(3) Building trees: left-associative "-"

LEFT-associative operator

$$x - y - z \rightarrow (x - y) - z$$

Minus(Minus(Var("x"), Var("y")), Var("z"))

Expr e Expr e Ker Ker Complete Java implementation of lexical analysis and recursive descent parsing for arithmetic expressions available on course website

```
return e;
```

}

Grammars & Ambiguity: Summary

- If we can find a string for which there are *two different parse* trees, then the grammar is ambiguous
- In general, it is difficult to say whether a grammar is ambiguous, however
- Deciding whether a grammar is ambiguous is an undecidable problem
 - There is no algorithm which can decide whether a grammar is ambiguous
- How to make grammars unambiguous:
 - Ensure that there is always *only one parse tree*
 - Construct the *correct* abstract syntax tree (associativity etc.)

Manual Construction of Parsers

- Typically one applies previous transformations to get a nice grammar
- Then, we write recursive descent parser as set of mutually recursive procedures that check if input is well formed
- Then, enhance such procedures to construct trees, paying attention to the associativity and priority of operators

Grammar vs Recursive Descent Parser

expr ::= term termList termList ::= + term termList - term termList ε	<pre>def expr = { term; termList } def termList = if (token == PLUS) { skip(PLUS); term; termList } else if (token == MINUS)</pre>
term ::= factor factorList	<pre>skip(MINUS); term; termList</pre>
<pre>factorList ::= * factor factorList</pre>	}
/ factor factorList	<pre>def term = { factor; factorList }</pre>
ε	•••
factor ::= name (expr)	def factor =
name ::= ident	<pre>if (token == IDENT) name</pre>
	<pre>else if (token == LPAR) {</pre>
	<pre>J skip(LPAR); expr; skip(RPAR)</pre>
	} else

```
error("expected ident or (")
```

Recursive Descent: Summary

- One of the most *widely-used* methods for parsing in compilers of real-world programming languages
 - GCC C/C++ compiler, Java reference compiler, Scala reference compiler, ...
- *Efficient* (linear) in the size of the token sequence
- Straight-forward to implement manually based on the grammar
 - There are also *parser generators* that generate the source code of recursive descent parsers
- Close correspondence between grammar and code
 - Common practice: quote grammar in code comments